

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/751,293	ENGWER, DARWIN A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2634	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Sudhanshu C. Pathak.

(3) _____.

(2) William W. Schaal.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Fix a typographical error & review references as disclosed in a previous IDS.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)